SRB – FBF ACADEMIC EVENT SESSION 2 – DISCUSSANT NICOLA COSTA

27 November 2020

Views expressed do not necessarily reflect the official views of the SRB

Single Resolution Board

REMOVING THE REGULATORY BARRIERS TO CROSS – BORDER BANKING (1/2)

Nikos Maragopoulos' paper on the policy context - comparison with another recent emiment proposal: Andrea Enria and Edouard Fernandez-Bollo's blogpost dated 9 October 2020

- The blogpost focuses mainly on <u>liquidity</u> waivers
- The blogpost does not tackle the possibility of cross-border internal MREL waivers
- The blogpost mentions a revision of intragroup financial support agreements (<u>IFSAs</u>) - but they remain <u>optional</u>

- The paper addresses <u>both liquidity and</u> <u>capital waivers</u>
- The paper puts forward the idea of <u>cross-</u> border internal MREL waivers
- The paper indicates that <u>IFSAs</u> should be <u>mandatory</u> for those wishing to apply waivers

For reflection

Would it make sense to have a gradual step by step approach as proposed in the blogpost? Or rather a more complex plan as suggested in the paper?

REMOVING THE REGULATORY BARRIERS TO CROSS – BORDER BANKING (2/2)

The paper puts forward additional interesting ideas

• The idea of a connection of internal MREL to resolvability assessment scoring and collateralised guarantees for the recapitalisation amount:

Further work: need of empirical analysis to confirm the intuitive approach proposed on the scoring, threshold, etc.

- The idea that the liquidity in resolution (LiR) issue would be mitigated through mobilisation of collateral held by subsidiaries:
 - Further work: need of empirical analysis to confirm the intuitive statement keeping in mind this would not be silver bullet to solve the LiR problem

For reflection

Which regulatory policies are more correlated than others to cross-border banking integration? How to make home & host MSs comfortable with the ideas proposed?



